

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/517,309	MIRAS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Maryam Monshipouri	1656	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Maryam Monshipouri.

 (2) Mr. D. Pereira.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 November 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 second

Claims discussed:

13-19

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner indicated that the term "similarity" in said claims is unclear and should be possibly replaced with the term "identity". In response, Mr. Pereira agreed with the examiner's proposal and gave her authority to amend the claims accordingly, in an Ex. amendment. He also gave the examiner authority to cancel non-elected subject matter from all claims in said amendment.